

HEAT-KERNEL ESTIMATES FOR RANDOM WALK AMONG RANDOM CONDUCTANCES WITH HEAVY TAIL

OMAR BOUKHADRA*

*Centre de Mathématiques et Informatique (CMI),
Université de Provence;
Département de Mathématiques, Université de Constantine*

ABSTRACT. We study models of discrete-time, symmetric, $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -valued random walks in random environments, driven by a field of i.i.d. random nearest-neighbor conductances $\omega_{xy} \in [0, 1]$, with polynomial tail near 0 with exponent $\gamma > 0$. We first prove for all $d \geq 5$ that the return probability shows an anomalous decay (non-Gaussian) that approaches (up to sub-polynomial terms) a random constant times n^{-2} when we push the power γ to zero. In contrast, we prove that the heat-kernel decay is as close as we want, in a logarithmic sense, to the standard decay $n^{-d/2}$ for large values of the parameter γ .

keywords : Random walk, Random environments, Markov chains, Random conductances, Percolation.

MSC : 60G50; 60J10; 60K37.

1. Introduction and results

The main purpose of this work is the derivation of heat-kernel bounds for random walks $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ among polynomial lower tail random conductances with exponent $\gamma > 0$, on $\mathbb{Z}^d, d > 4$. We show that the heat-kernel exhibits opposite behaviors, anomalous and standard, for small and large values of γ .

Random walks in reversible random environments are driven by the transition matrix

$$P_\omega(x, y) = \frac{\omega_{xy}}{\pi_\omega(x)}. \quad (1.1)$$

where (ω_{xy}) is a family of random (non-negative) conductances subject to the symmetry condition $\omega_{xy} = \omega_{yx}$. The sum $\pi_\omega(x) = \sum_y \omega_{xy}$ defines an invariant, reversible measure for the corresponding discrete-time Markov chain. In most situations ω_{xy} are non-zero only for nearest neighbors on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and are sampled from a shift-invariant, ergodic or even i.i.d. measure $\mathbb{Q}$.

© 2009 by Omar Boukhadra. Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

*E-mail address : omar.boukhadra@cmi.univ-mrs.fr.

One general class of results is available for such random walks under the additional assumptions of uniform ellipticity,

$$\exists \alpha > 0 : \quad \mathbb{Q}(\alpha < \omega_b < 1/\alpha) = 1$$

and the boundedness of the jump distribution,

$$\exists R < \infty : |x| \geq R \Rightarrow P_\omega(0, x) = 0, \quad \mathbb{Q} - a.s.$$

One has then the standard local-CLT like decay of the heat-kernel (c_1, c_2 are absolute constants), as proved by Delmotte [Del99]:

$$P_\omega^n(x, y) \leq \frac{c_1}{n^{d/2}} \exp \left\{ -c_2 \frac{|x - y|^2}{n} \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Once the assumption of uniform ellipticity is relaxed, matters get more complicated. The most-intensely studied example is the simple random walk on the infinite cluster of supercritical bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 2$. This corresponds to $\omega_{xy} \in \{0, 1\}$ i.i.d. with $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_b = 1) > p_c(d)$ where $p_c(d)$ is the percolation threshold (cf. [Grim99]). Here an annealed invariance principle has been obtained by De Masi, Ferrari, Goldstein and Wick [DFGW85]–[DFGW89] in the late 1980s. More recently, Mathieu and Rémy [MR04] proved the on-diagonal (i.e., $x = y$) version of the heat-kernel upper bound (1.2)—a slightly weaker version of which was also obtained by Hecklen and Hoffman [HH05]—and, soon afterwards, Barlow [Ba04] proved the full upper and lower bounds on $P_\omega^n(x, y)$ of the form (1.2). (Both these results hold for n exceeding some random time defined relative to the environment in the vicinity of x and y .) Heat-kernel upper bounds were then used in the proofs of quenched invariance principles by Sidoravicius and Sznitman [SSz04] for $d \geq 4$, and for all $d \geq 2$ by Berger and Biskup [BB07] and Mathieu and Piatnitski [MPia07].

We consider in our case a family of symmetric, irreducible, nearest-neighbor Markov chains on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 5$, driven by a field of i.i.d. bounded random conductances $\omega_{xy} \in [0, 1]$ and subject to the symmetry condition $\omega_{xy} = \omega_{yx}$. These are constructed as follows. Let Ω be the set of functions $\omega : \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\omega_{xy} > 0$ iff $x \sim y$, and $\omega_{xy} = \omega_{yx}$ ($x \sim y$ means that x and y are nearest neighbors). We call elements of Ω environments.

We choose the family $\{\omega_b, b = (x, y), x \sim y, b \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ i.i.d according to a law $\mathbb{Q}$ on $(R_+^*)^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_b &\leq 1 && \text{for all } b; \\ \mathbb{Q}(\omega_b \leq a) &\sim a^\gamma && \text{when } a \downarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a parameter. Therefore, the conductances are $\mathbb{Q}$ -a.s. positive.

In a recent paper, Fontes and Mathieu [FM06] studied continuous-time random walks on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ which are defined by generators $\mathcal{L}_\omega$ of the form

$$(\mathcal{L}_\omega f)(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy} [f(y) - f(x)],$$

with conductances given by

$$\omega_{xy} = \omega(x) \wedge \omega(y)$$

for i.i.d. random variables $\omega(x) > 0$ satisfying (1.3). For these cases, it was found that the annealed heat-kernel, $\int d\mathbb{Q}(\omega) P_0^\omega(X_t = 0)$, exhibits an *anomalous decay*, for $\gamma < d/2$. Explicitly, from [FM06], Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\int d\mathbb{Q}(\omega) P_0^\omega(X_t = 0) = t^{-(\gamma \wedge \frac{d}{2}) + o(1)}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

In addition, in a more recent paper, Berger, Biskup, Hoffman and Kozma [BBHK08], provided universal upper bounds on the quenched heat-kernel by considering the nearest-neighbor simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 2$, driven by a field of i.i.d. bounded random conductances $\omega_{xy} \in [0, 1]$. The conductance law is i.i.d. subject to the condition that the probability of $\omega_{xy} > 0$ exceeds the threshold $p_c(d)$ for bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For environments in which the origin is connected to infinity by bonds with positive conductances, they studied the decay of the $2n$ -step return probability $P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0)$. They have proved that $P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0)$ is bounded by a random constant times $n^{-d/2}$ in $d = 2, 3$, while it is $o(n^{-2})$ in $d \geq 5$ and $O(n^{-2} \log n)$ in $d = 4$. More precisely, from [BBHK08], Theorem 2.1, we have for almost every $\omega \in \{0 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty\}$ ($\mathcal{C}_\infty$ represents the set of sites that have a path to infinity along bonds with positive conductances), and for all $n \geq 1$.

$$P_\omega^n(0, 0) \leq C(\omega) \begin{cases} n^{-d/2}, & d = 2, 3, \\ n^{-2} \log n, & d = 4, \\ n^{-2}, & d \geq 5, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $C(\omega)$ is a random positive variable.

On the other hand, to show that those general upper bounds (cf. (1.5)) in $d \geq 5$ represent a real phenomenon, they produced examples with anomalous heat-kernel decay approaching $1/n^2$, for i.i.d. laws $\mathbb{Q}$ on bounded nearest-neighbor conductances with *lower tail much heavier than polynomial* and with $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_b > 0) > p_c(d)$. We quote Theorem 2.2 from [BBHK08] :

Theorem 1.1 (1) *Let $d \geq 5$ and $\kappa > 1/d$. There exists an i.i.d. law $\mathbb{Q}$ on bounded, nearest-neighbor conductances with $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_b > 0) > p_c(d)$ and a random*

variable $C = C(\omega)$ such that for almost every $\omega \in \{0 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty\}$,

$$P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0) \geq C(\omega) \frac{e^{-(\log n)^\kappa}}{n^2}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.6)$$

(2) Let $d \geq 5$. For every increasing sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an i.i.d. law $\mathbb{Q}$ on bounded, nearest-neighbor conductances with $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_b > 0) > p_c(d)$ and an a.s. positive random variable $C = C(\omega)$ such that for almost every $\omega \in \{0 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty\}$,

$$P_\omega^n(0, 0) \geq \frac{C(\omega)}{\lambda_n n^2} \quad (1.7)$$

along a subsequence that does not depend on ω .

The distributions that they use in part (1) of Theorem 1.1 have a tail near zero of the general form

$$\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{xy} < s) \approx |\log(s)|^{-\theta} \quad (1.8)$$

with $\theta > 0$.

Berger, Biskup, Hoffman and Kozma [BBHK08] called attention to the fact that the construction of an estimate of the anomalous heat-kernel decay for random walk among polynomial lower tail random conductances on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, seems to require subtle control of heat-kernel *lower* bounds which go beyond the estimates that can be easily pulled out from the literature. In the present paper, we give a response to this question and show that every distribution with an appropriate power-law decay near zero, can serve as such example, and that when we push the power to zero. The lower bound obtained for the return probability approaches (up to sub-polynomial terms) the upper bound supplied by [BBHK08] and that for all $d \geq 5$.

Here is our first main result whose proof is given in section 2 :

Theorem 1.2 *Let $d \geq 5$. There exists a positive constant $\delta(\gamma)$ depending only on d and γ such that $\mathbb{Q}$ -a.s., there exists $C = C(\omega) < \infty$ and for all $n \geq 1$*

$$P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0) \geq \frac{C}{n^{2+\delta(\gamma)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\gamma) \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Remark 1.3 (1) The proof tells us in fact, with (1.5), that for $d \geq 5$ we have almost surely

$$\begin{aligned} -2[1 + d(2d-1)\gamma] &\leq \liminf_n \frac{\log P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0)}{\log n} \\ &\leq \limsup_n \frac{\log P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0)}{\log n} \leq -2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

- (2) As we were reminded by M. Biskup and T.M. Prescott, the invariance principle (CLT) (cf Theorem 2.1. in [BP07] and Theorem 1.3 in [M08]) automatically implies the “usual” lower bound on the heat-kernel under weaker conditions on the conductances. Indeed, the Markov property and reversibility of X yield

$$P_0^\omega(X_{2n} = 0) \geq \frac{\pi_\omega(0)}{2d} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{C}_\infty \\ |x| \leq \sqrt{n}}} P_0^\omega(X_n = x)^2.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz then gives

$$P_0^\omega(X_{2n} = 0) \geq P_0^\omega(|X_n| \leq \sqrt{n})^2 \frac{\pi_\omega(0)/2d}{|\mathcal{C}_\infty \cap [-\sqrt{n}, +\sqrt{n}]^d|}.$$

Now the invariance principle implies that $P_0^\omega(|X_n| \leq \sqrt{n})^2$ has a positive limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the Spatial Ergodic Theorem shows that $|\mathcal{C}_\infty \cap [-\sqrt{n}, +\sqrt{n}]^d|$ grows proportionally to $n^{d/2}$. Hence we get

$$P_0^\omega(X_{2n} = 0) \geq \frac{C(\omega)}{n^{d/2}}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

with $C(\omega) > 0$ a.s. on the set $\{0 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty\}$. Note that, in $d = 2, 3$, this complements nicely the “universal” upper bounds derived in [BBHK08]. In $d = 4$, the decay is at most $n^{-2} \log n$ and at least n^{-2} .

The result of Fontes and Mathieu (1.4) (cf. [FM06], Theorem 4.3) encourages us to believe that the quenched heat-kernel has a standard decay when $\gamma \geq d/2$, but the construction seems to require subtle control of heat-kernel upper bounds. In the second result of this paper whose proof is given in section 3, we prove, for all $d \geq 5$, that the heat-kernel decay is as close as we want, in a logarithmic sense, to the standard decay $n^{-d/2}$ for large values of the parameter γ . For the cases where $d = 2, 3$, we have a standard decay of the quenched return probability under weaker conditions on the conductances (see Remark 1.3).

Theorem 1.4 *Let $d \geq 5$. There exists a positive constant $\delta(\gamma)$ depending only on d and γ such that $\mathbb{Q}$ -a.s.,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\log P_\omega^n(0, x)}{\log n} \leq -\frac{d}{2} + \delta(\gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(\gamma) \xrightarrow{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (1.11)$$

In what follows,, we refer to $P_x^\omega(\cdot)$ as the *quenched* law of the random walk $X = (X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ on $((\mathbb{Z}^d)^\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{G})$ with transitions given in (1.1) in the environment ω , where $\mathcal{G}$ is the σ -algebra generated by cylinder functions, and let $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes P_0^\omega$ be

the so-called *annealed* semi-direct product measure law defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(F \times G) = \int_F \mathbb{Q}(d\omega) P_0^\omega(G), \quad F \in \mathcal{F}, G \in \mathcal{G}.$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denote the Borel σ -algebra on Ω (which is the same as the σ -algebra generated by cylinder functions).

2. Anomalous heat-kernel decay

In this section we provide the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We consider a family of bounded nearest-neighbor conductances $(\omega_b) \in \Omega = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{B}^d}$ where b ranges over the set $\mathbb{B}^d$ of unordered pairs of nearest neighbors in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. The law $\mathbb{Q}$ of the ω 's will be i.i.d. subject to the conditions given in (1.3).

We prove this lower bound by following a different approach of the one adopted by Berger, Biskup, Hoffman and Kozma [BBHK08] to prove (1.6–1.7). In fact, they prove that in a box of side length ℓ_n there exists a configuration where a strong bond with conductance of order 1, is separated from other sites by bonds of strength $1/n$, and (at least) one of these “weak” bonds is connected to the origin by a “strong” path not leaving the box. Then the probability that the walk is back to the origin at time n is bounded below by the probability that the walk goes directly towards the above pattern (this costs $e^{O(\ell_n)}$ of probability) then crosses the weak bond (which costs $1/n$), spends time $n - 2\ell_n$ on the strong bond (which costs only $O(1)$ of probability), then crosses a weak bond again (another factor of $1/n$) and then heads towards the origin to get there on time (another $e^{O(\ell_n)}$ term). The cost of this strategy is $O(1)e^{O(\ell_n)}n^{-2}$ so if $\ell_n = o(\log n)$ then we get leading order n^{-2} .

Our method for proving Theorem 1.2 is, in fact, simple - we note that due to the reversibility of the walk and with a good use of Cauchy-Schwartz, one does not need to condition on the exact path of the walk, but rather show that the walker has a relatively large probability of staying within a small box around the origin. Our objective will consist in showing that for almost every ω , the probability that the random walk when started at the origin is at time n inside the box $B_{n^\delta} = [-3n^\delta, 3n^\delta]^d$, is greater than c/n (where c is a constant and $\delta = \delta(\gamma) \downarrow 0$). Hence we will get $P_\omega^{2n}(0, 0)/\pi(0) \geq c/n^{2+\delta d}$ by virtue of the following inequality which, for almost every environment ω , derives from the reversibility of X , Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (1.3) :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P_\omega^{2n}(0,0)}{\pi_\omega(0)} &\geq \sum_{y \in B_{n^\delta}} \frac{P_\omega^n(0,y)^2}{\pi_\omega(y)} \\
&\geq \left(\sum_{y \in B_{n^\delta}} P_\omega^n(0,y) \right)^2 \frac{1}{\pi_\omega(B_{n^\delta})} \\
&\geq \frac{P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_{n^\delta})^2}{\#B_{n^\delta}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

In order to do this, our strategy is to show that the random walk meets a *trap*, with positive probability, before getting out from $[-3n^\delta, 3n^\delta]^d$, where, by definition, a trap is an edge of conductance of order 1 that can be reached only by crossing an edge of order $1/n$. The random walk, being imprisoned in the trap inside the box $[-3n^\delta, 3n^\delta]^d$, will not get out from this box before time n with positive probability. Then the Markov property yields $P_0^\omega(X_n \in [-3n^\delta, 3n^\delta]^d) \geq c/n$. Thus, we will be brought to follow the walk until it finds a specific configuration in the environment.

First, we will need to prove one lemma. Let $B_N = [-3N, 3N]^d$ be the box centered at the origin and of radius $3N$ and define ∂B_N to be its inner boundary, that is, the set of vertices in B_N which are adjacent to some vertex not in B_N . We have $\#B_N \leq (7N)^d$. Let $H_0 = 0$ and define H_N , $N \geq 1$, to be the hitting time of ∂B_N , i.e.

$$H_N = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n \in \partial B_N\}.$$

The box B_N being finite for N fixed, we have then $H_N < \infty$ a.s., $\forall N \geq 1$.

Let $\hat{e}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, denote the canonical unit vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and let $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, with $x := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Define $i_0 := \max\{i : |x_i| \geq |x_j|, \forall j \neq i\}$ and let $\epsilon(x) : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ be the function such that

$$\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x_{i_0} \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x_{i_0} < 0 \end{cases}$$

Now, let α, ξ be positive constants such that $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_b \geq \xi) > 0$. Define $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$ to be the event that the configuration near x , $y = x + \epsilon(x)\hat{e}_{i_0}$ and $z = x + 2\epsilon(x)\hat{e}_{i_0}$ is as follows:

- (1) $\frac{1}{2}N^{-\alpha} < \omega_{xy} \leq N^{-\alpha}$.
- (2) $\omega_{yz} \geq \xi$.
- (3) every other bond emanating out of y or z has $\omega_b \leq N^{-\alpha}$.

The event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$ so constructed involves a collection of $4d - 1$ bonds that will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(x)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}(x) := \{[x, y], [y, z], [y, y^i], [z, z^i], [z, z_0^i]; y = x + \epsilon(x)\hat{e}_{i_0}, z = x + 2\epsilon(x)\hat{e}_{i_0}, \\ y^i = y \pm \hat{e}_i, z^i = z \pm \hat{e}_i, \forall i \neq i_0, z_0^i = z + \epsilon(x)\hat{e}_{i_0}\}$$

Let us note that if $x \in \partial B_N$, for some $N \geq 1$, the collection $\mathcal{C}(x)$ is outside the box B_N and if $y \in \partial B_K$, for $K \neq N$, we have $\mathcal{C}(x) \cap \mathcal{C}(y) = \emptyset$.

If the bonds of the collection $\mathcal{C}(x)$ satisfy the conditions of the event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$, we agree to call it a *trap* that we will denote by $\mathfrak{P}_N$.

The lemma says then that :

Lemma 2.1 *The family $\{\mathcal{A}_N^k = \mathcal{A}_N(X_{H_k})\}_{k=0}^{N-1}$ is $\mathbb{P}$ -independent for each N .*

Proof. The occurrence of the event $\mathcal{A}_N(X_{H_k})$ means that the random walk X has met a trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$ situated outside of the box B_k when it has hit for the first time the boundary of the box B_k .

Let q_N be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -probability of having the configuration of the trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$. We have $q_N = \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{A}_N(x)) = \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}_N(X_{H_k})]$, $\forall x \in \partial B_k$ and $\forall k \leq N - 1$. Indeed, by virtue of the i.i.d. character of the conductances and the Markov property, when the random walk hits the boundary of B_k for the first time at some element x , the probability that the collection $\mathcal{C}(x)$ constitutes a trap, i.e., satisfies the conditions of the event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$, depends only on the edges of the collection $\mathcal{C}(x)$, which have not been visited before.

Let $k_1 < k_2 \leq N - 1$ and $x \in \partial B_{k_2}$, we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x, \mathcal{A}_N^{k_2} \right] &= \mathbb{P} \left[\left\{ \mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x \right\} \cap \mathcal{A}_N(x) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left[\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x \right] \mathbb{P} [\mathcal{A}_N(x)] \\ &= q_N \mathbb{P} \left[\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x \right], \end{aligned}$$

since the events $\{\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$ depend respectively on the conductances of the bonds of B_{k_2} and the conductances of the bonds of the collection $\mathcal{C}(x)$ which is situated outside the box B_{k_2} when $x \in \partial B_{k_2}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} [\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1} \mathcal{A}_N^{k_2}] &= \sum_{x \in \partial B_{k_2}} \mathbb{P} \left[\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x, \mathcal{A}_N^{k_2} \right] \\ &= q_N \sum_{x \in \partial B_{k_2}} \mathbb{P} \left[\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}, X_{H_{k_2}} = x \right] \\ &= q_N \mathbb{P} [\mathcal{A}_N^{k_1}] = q_N^2. \end{aligned}$$

With some adaptations, this reasoning remains true in the case of more than two events $\mathcal{A}_N^k$. $\square$

We come now to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $d \geq 5$ and $\gamma > 0$. Set $\alpha = \frac{1-\epsilon}{(4d-2)\gamma}$ for arbitrary positive constant $\epsilon < 1$ (the constant α is the same used in the definition of the event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$). As seen before (cf. (2.1)), for almost every environment ω , the reversibility of X , Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (1.3) give

$$\frac{P_\omega^{2n}(0,0)}{\pi_\omega(0)} \geq \frac{P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_{n^{1/\alpha}})^2}{\#B_{n^{1/\alpha}}}, \quad (2.2)$$

By the assumption (1.3) on the conductances and the definition of the event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$, the probability of having the configuration of the trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$ is greater than $cN^{-(1-\epsilon)}$ (where c is a constant that we use henceforth as a generic constant). Indeed, when N is large enough, we have

$$q_N = \mathbb{Q} \left(\frac{1}{2}N^{-\alpha} < \omega_{xy} \leq N^{-\alpha} \right) \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{yz} \geq \xi) [\mathbb{Q}(\omega_b \leq N^{-\alpha})]^{4d-3} \geq \frac{c}{N^{1-\epsilon}}.$$

Consider now the following event

$$\Lambda_N := \bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{A}_N^k.$$

The event Λ_N so defined may be interpreted as follows : *at least, one among the N disjoint collections $\mathcal{C}(X_{H_k})$, $k \leq N-1$, constitutes a trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$.* The events $\mathcal{A}_N^k$ being independent by lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\Lambda_N^c] &\leq (1 - cN^{\epsilon-1})^N \\ &\leq \exp \{ N \log (1 - cN^{\epsilon-1}) \} \\ &\leq \exp \{ -cN^\epsilon \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Chebychev inequality and (2.3) then give

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q} \{ \omega : P_0^\omega(\Lambda_N^c) \geq 1/2 \} \leq 2 \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[\Lambda_N^c] < +\infty. \quad (2.4)$$

It results by Borel-Cantelli lemma that for almost every ω , there exists $N_0 \geq 1$ such that for each $N \geq N_0$, the event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$ occurs inside the box B_N with positive probability (greater than $1/2$) on the path of X , for some $x \in B_{N-1}$. For almost every ω , one may say that X meets with positive probability a trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$ at some site $x \in B_{N-1}$ before getting outside of B_N .

Suppose that $N \geq N_0$ and let n be such that $N^\alpha \leq n < (N+1)^\alpha$. Define

$$D_N := \begin{cases} \inf\{k \leq N-1 : \mathcal{A}_N^k \text{ occurs}\} & \text{if } \Lambda_N \text{ occurs} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

to be the rank of the first among the N collections $\mathcal{C}(X_{H_k})$, $k \leq N-1$, that constitutes a trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$. If $D_N = k$, the random variable D_N so defined depends only on the steps of X up to time H_k . Thus, if $D_N = k$, we have $X_{H_k} \in B_{N-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}(X_{H_k})$ constitutes a trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$. So, if we set $X_{H_k} = x$, the bond $[x, y]$ (of the trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$) will have then a conductance of order $N^{-\alpha}$. In this case, the probability for the random walk, when started at $X_{H_k} = x$, to cross the bond $[x, y]$ is by the property (1) of the definition of the event $\mathcal{A}_N(x)$ above greater than

$$\frac{(1/2)N^{-\alpha}}{\pi_\omega(x)} \geq \frac{1/2}{2dN^\alpha} = \frac{1}{4dN^\alpha}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here we use the fact that $\pi_\omega(x) \leq 2d$ by virtue of (1.3). This implies by the Markov property and by (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_N | D_N \leq N-1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_k} \frac{P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_N, D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_k} \frac{P_0^\omega(H_N \geq n, D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_k} \frac{P_0^\omega(D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} P_x^\omega(H_N \geq n) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_k} \frac{P_0^\omega(D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} P_y^\omega(H_N \geq n) P_x^\omega(X_1 = y) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4dN^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_k} \frac{P_0^\omega(D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} P_y^\omega(H_N \geq n) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4dn} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_k} \frac{P_0^\omega(D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} P_y^\omega(H_N \geq n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

If the trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$ retains enough the random walk X , we will have $H_N \geq n$, when it starts at y (always the same $y = x + \epsilon(x)\hat{e}_{i_0}$ of the collection $\mathcal{C}(x)$). Let

$$E_N := \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \{X_j \text{ steps outside of the trap } \mathfrak{P}_N\}$$

and we say “ X_j steps outside of the trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$ ”, when X_{j+1} is on a site of the border of the trap $\mathfrak{P}_N$, i.e. $X_{j+1} = y \pm \hat{e}_i, \forall i \neq i_0$, or $X_{j+1} = x$ (resp. $X_{j+1} = z \pm \hat{e}_i, \forall i \neq i_0$, or $X_{j+1} = z + \epsilon(z)\hat{e}_{i_0}$) if $X_j = y$ (resp. if $X_j = z$).

The complement of E_N is in fact the event that X does not leave the trap during its first n jumps, i.e. X jumps n times, starting at y , in turn on z and y , which, according to the configuration of the trap, costs for each jump a probability greater than

$$\frac{\xi}{\xi + (2d-1)N^{-\alpha}}.$$

Then, we have by the Markov property

$$P_y^\omega(H_N \geq n) \geq P_y^\omega(E_N^c) \geq \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi + (2d-1)N^{-\alpha}} \right)^n,$$

and since by the choice of $N^\alpha \leq n < (N+1)^\alpha$

$$\left(\frac{\xi}{\xi + (2d-1)N^{-\alpha}} \right)^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} e^{-(2d-1)/\xi},$$

it follows for all N large enough that

$$P_y^\omega(H_N \geq n) \geq \frac{e^{-(2d-1)/\xi}}{2}. \quad (2.7)$$

So, putting this in (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_N | D_N \leq N-1) &\geq \frac{e^{-(2d-1)/\xi}}{8dn} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{x \in B_{N-1}} \frac{P_0^\omega(D_N = k, X_{H_k} = x)}{P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1)} \\ &\geq \frac{e^{-(2d-1)/\xi}}{8dn}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, according to (2.4), we have $P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1) \geq 1/2$. Then we deduce

$$P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_N) \geq P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_N | D_N \leq N-1) P_0^\omega(D_N \leq N-1) \geq \frac{e^{-(2d-1)/\xi}}{16dn}.$$

A fortiori, we have

$$P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_{n^{1/\alpha}}) \geq P_0^\omega(X_n \in B_N) \geq \frac{e^{-(2d-1)/\xi}}{16dn}.$$

Thus, for all $N \geq N_0$, by replacing the last inequality in (2.2), we obtain

$$P_\omega^{2n}(0,0) \geq \frac{\pi(0) \left(e^{-(2d-1)/\xi} / 16d \right)^2 7^{-d}}{n^{2+\delta(\gamma)}}.$$

where $\delta(\gamma) := d(4d-2)\gamma/(1-\epsilon)$. When we let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get (1.10). $\square$

3. Standard heat-kernel decay

We give here the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let us first give some definitions and fix some notations besides those seen before.

Consider a Markov chain on a countable state-space V with transition probability denoted by $P(x, y)$ and invariant measure denoted by π . Define $Q(x, y) = \pi(x)P(x, y)$ and for each $S_1, S_2 \subset V$, let

$$Q(S_1, S_2) = \sum_{x \in S_1} \sum_{y \in S_2} Q(x, y). \quad (3.1)$$

For each $S \subset V$ with $\pi(S) \in (0, \infty)$ we define

$$\Phi_S = \frac{Q(S, S^c)}{\pi(S)} \quad (3.2)$$

and use it to define the isoperimetric profile

$$\Phi(r) = \inf \{ \Phi_S : \pi(S) \leq r \}. \quad (3.3)$$

(Here $\pi(S)$ is the measure of S .) It is easy to check that we may restrict the infimum to sets S that are connected in the graph structure induced on V by P .

To prove Theorem 1.4, we combine basically two facts. On the one hand, we use Theorem 2 of Morris and Peres [MorPer05] that we summarize here : Suppose that $P(x, x) \geq \sigma$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1/2]$ and all $x \in V$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $x, y \in V$. Then

$$P^n(x, y) \leq \epsilon \pi(y) \quad (3.4)$$

for all n such that

$$n \geq 1 + \frac{(1 - \sigma)^2}{\sigma^2} \int_{4[\pi(x) \wedge \pi(y)]}^{4/\epsilon} \frac{4}{u \Phi(u)^2} du. \quad (3.5)$$

Let $B_{N+1} = [-(N+1), N+1]^d$ and $\mathcal{B}_{N+1}$ denote the set of nearest-neighbor bonds of B_{N+1} , i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{N+1} = \{b = (x, y) : x, y \in B_{N+1}, x \sim y\}$. Call $\mathbb{Z}_e^d$ the set of even points of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, i.e., the points $x := (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ such that $|\sum_{i=1}^d x_i| = 2k$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($0 \in \mathbb{N}$), and equip it with the graph structure defined by : two points $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_e^d \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ are neighbors when they are separated in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ by two steps, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| = 2.$$

We operate the following modification on the environment ω by defining $\tilde{\omega}_b = 1$ on every bond $b \notin \mathcal{B}_{N+1}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_b = \omega_b$ otherwise. Then, we will adapt the machinery above to the following setting

$$V = \mathbb{Z}_e^d, \quad P = P_{\tilde{\omega}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \pi_{\tilde{\omega}}, \quad (3.6)$$

with the objects in (3.1–3.3) denoted by $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\omega}}$, $\Phi_S^{(\tilde{\omega})}$ and $\Phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(r)$. So, the random walk associated with $P_{\tilde{\omega}}^2$ moves on the even points.

On the other hand, we need to know the following standard fact that gives a lower bound of the conductances of the box B_N . For a proof, see [FM06], Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.1 *Under assumption (1.3),*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \inf_{b \in \mathcal{B}_N} \omega_b}{\log N} = -\frac{d}{\gamma}, \quad \mathbb{Q} - a.s. \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, for arbitrary $\mu > 0$, we can write $\mathbb{Q}$ –a.s., for all N large enough

$$\inf_{b \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}} \omega_b \geq N^{-(\frac{d}{\gamma} + \mu)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Our next step involves extraction of appropriate bounds on surface and volume terms.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $d \geq 2$ and set $\alpha(N) := N^{-(\frac{d}{\gamma} + \mu)}$, for arbitrary $\mu > 0$. Then, for a.e. ω , there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the following holds: For N large enough and any finite connected $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_e^d$, we have*

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_e^d \setminus \Lambda) \geq c\alpha(N)^2 \pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Lambda)^{\frac{d-1}{d}}. \quad (3.9)$$

The proof of lemma 3.2 will be a consequence of the following well-known fact of isoperimetric inequalities on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ (see [Woe00], Chapter I, § 4). For any connected $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $\partial\Lambda$ denote the set of edges between Λ and $\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \Lambda$. Then, there exists a constant κ such that

$$|\partial\Lambda| \geq \kappa |\Lambda|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \quad (3.10)$$

for every finite connected $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. This remains true for $\mathbb{Z}_e^d$.

Proof of lemma 3.2. For some arbitrary $\mu > 0$, set $\alpha := \alpha(N) = N^{-(\frac{d}{\gamma} + \mu)}$ and let $N \gg 1$. For any finite connected $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_e^d$, we claim that

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_e^d \setminus \Lambda) \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{2d} |\partial\Lambda| \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Lambda) \leq 2d |\Lambda|. \quad (3.12)$$

Then, Lemma 3.1 gives a.s. $\inf_{b \in \mathcal{B}_N} \omega(b) > \alpha$ and by virtue of (3.10), we have $|\partial\Lambda| \geq \kappa |\Lambda|^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$, then (3.9) will follow from (3.11–3.12).

It remains to prove (3.11–3.12). The bound (3.12) is implied by $\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(x) \leq 2d$. For (3.11), since $P_{\tilde{\omega}}^2$ represents two steps of a random walk, we get a lower bound on $\mathbf{Q}_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_e^d \setminus \Lambda)$ by picking a site $x \in \Lambda$ which has a neighbor $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ that has a

neighbor $z \in \mathbb{Z}_e^d$ on the outer boundary of Λ . By Lemma 3.1, if x or $z \in B_{N+1}$, the relevant contribution is bounded by

$$\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(x)P_{\tilde{\omega}}^2(x, z) \geq \pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(x) \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{xy}}{\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(x)} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{yz}}{\pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(y)} \geq \frac{\alpha^2}{2d}. \quad (3.13)$$

For the case where $x, z \notin \mathbb{Z}_e^d \cap B_{N+1}$, clearly the left-hand side of (3.13) is bounded by $1/(2d) > \alpha^2/(2d)$. Once Λ has at least two elements, we can do this for (y, z) ranging over all bonds in $\partial\Lambda$, so summing over (y, z) we get (3.11). $\square$

Now we get what we need to estimate the decay of $P_{\omega}^{2n}(0, 0)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $d \geq 5$, $\gamma > 8d$ and choose $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\mu < \frac{1}{8} - \frac{d}{\gamma}.$$

Let $n = \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$, $N \gg 1$, and consider the random walk on $\tilde{\omega}$.

We will derive a bound on $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{(\tilde{\omega})}$ for connected $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_e^d$. Henceforth c denotes a generic constant. Observe that (3.9) implies

$$\Phi_{\Lambda}^{(\tilde{\omega})} \geq c\alpha^2 \pi_{\tilde{\omega}}(\Lambda)^{-1/d}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then, we conclude that

$$\Phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(r) \geq c\alpha^2 r^{-1/d} \quad (3.15)$$

The relevant integral is thus bounded by

$$\frac{(1-\sigma)^2}{\sigma^2} \int_{4[\pi(0) \wedge \pi(x)]}^{4/\epsilon} \frac{4}{u\Phi_{\tilde{\omega}}(u)^2} du \leq c\alpha^{-4} \sigma^{-2} \epsilon^{-2/d} \quad (3.16)$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Setting ϵ proportional to $n^{\frac{4d^2}{\gamma} + 4\mu d - \frac{d}{2}}$, and noting $\sigma \geq \alpha^2/(2d)$, the right-hand side is less than n and by setting $\delta(\gamma) = 4d^2/\gamma$, we will get

$$P_{\tilde{\omega}}^{2n}(0, x) \leq \frac{c}{n^{\frac{d}{2} - \delta(\gamma) - 4\mu d}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}_e^d. \quad (3.17)$$

As the random walk will not leave the box B_N by time $2n$, we can replace $\tilde{\omega}$ by ω in (3.17), and since $P_{\omega}^{2n}(0, x) = 0$ for each $x \notin B_N$, then after letting $\mu \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\log P_{\omega}^{2n}(0, x)}{\log n} \leq -\frac{d}{2} + \delta(\gamma).$$

This proves the claim for even n ; for odd n we just concatenate this with a single step of the random walk. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I express my gratitude to my father Youcef Bey. I wish to thank my Ph.D. advisor, Pierre Mathieu for suggesting and discussions on this problem, and Abdelatif

Bencherif-Madani for his support. I also would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and comments that led to an improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [Ba04] BARLOW, M.T. (2004). *Random walks on supercritical percolation clusters*. Ann. Probab., Vol. **32**, no. 4, 3024–3084.
- [BB07] BERGER, N. AND BISKUP, M. (2007). *Quenched invariance principle for simple random walk on percolation clusters*. Probab. Theory Rel. Fields, Vol. **137**, no. 1-2, 83–120.
- [BBHK08] BERGER, N., BISKUP, M., HOFFMAN, C. E. and KOZMA, G. (2008). *Anomalous heat-kernel decay for random walk among bounded random conductances*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist., Vol. **44**, no. 2, 374–392.
- [BP07] BISKUP, M. and PRESCOTT, T.M. (2007). *Functional CLT For Random Walk Among Bounded Random Conductances*. Electron. J. Probab., Vol. **12**, no. 49, 1323–1348.
- [Del99] DELMOTTE, T. (1999). *Parabolic Harnack inequality and estimates of Markov chains on graphs*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, Vol. **15**, no. 1, 181–232.
- [DFGW85] DE MASI, A., FERRARI, P.A., GOLDSTEIN, S. AND WICK, W.D. (1985). *Invariance principle for reversible Markov processes with application to diffusion in the percolation regime*. In :Particle Systems, Random Media and Large Deviations (Brunswick, Maine), pp. 71–85, Contemp. Math., Vol. **41**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [DFGW89] DE MASI, A., FERRARI, P.A., GOLDSTEIN, S. AND WICK, W.D. (1989). *An invariance principle for reversible Markov processes. Applications to random motions in random environments*. Journal of Statistical Physics, Vol. **55**, no. 3-4, 787–855.
- [FM06] FONTES, L.R.G. AND MATHIEU, P. (2006). *On symmetric random walks with random conductances on $\mathbb{Z}^d$* . Probab. Theory Rel. Fields, Vol. **134**, no. 4, 565–602.
- [Grim99] GRIMMETT, G.R. (1999). *Percolation* (Second edition), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 321. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [HH05] HEICKLEN, D., AND HOFFMAN, C. (2005). *Return probabilities of a simple random walk on percolation clusters*. Electron. J. Probab., Vol. **10**, no. 8, 250–302 (electronic).
- [M08] MATHIEU, P. (2008). *Quenched invariance principles for random walks with random conductances..* Journal of Statistical Physics, Vol. **130**, no. 5, 1025–1046.
- [MorPer05] MORRIS, B. AND PERES, Y. (2005). *Evolving sets, mixing and heat kernel bounds*. Probab. Theory Rel. Fields, Vol. **133**, no. 2, 245–266.
- [MPia07] MATHIEU, P. AND PIATNITSKI, A.L. (2007). *Quenched invariance principles for random walks on percolation clusters*. Proceedings A of the Royal Society, Vol. **463**, 2287–2307.
- [MR04] MATHIEU, P. AND REMY, E. (2004). *Isoperimetry and heat kernel decay on percolation clusters*. Ann. Probab. Vol. **32**, no. 1A, 100–128.
- [SSz04] SIDORAVICIUS, V. AND SZNITMAN, A.-S. (2004). *Quenched invariance principles for walks on clusters of percolation or among random conductances*. Probab. Theory Rel. Fields, Vol. **129**, no. 2, 219–244.
- [Woe00] WOESS, W. (2000). *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*. Cambridge tracts in Mathematics (138), Cambridge university press.

CMI, 39 RUE F. JOLIOT-CURIE 13453 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13, FRANCE.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE CONSTANTINE, BP 325, ROUTE AIN EL BEY, 25017, CONSTANTINE, ALGÉRIE.